

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
07/05/2005	Jurgen Schmidt-Thummes	273621US0PCT	7671
90 04/23/2009		EXAMINER	
•	MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- 		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	07/05/2005	07/05/2005 Jurgen Schmidt-Thummes 90 04/23/2009 VAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. REET	07/05/2005 Jurgen Schmidt-Thummes 273621US0PCT 90 04/23/2009 EXAM. VAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. REET

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		10/541,206	SCHMIDT-THUMMES ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael Bernshteyn	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>03 April 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.					
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.				
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).				
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).				
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).				
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))				
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).				
7. 🗆	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).				
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).				
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).				
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):				
	1.) The summary of claimed subject matter fails to identify and separately refer each independent claim (1 and 9) to the specification by page and line number. The independent claims should not be grouped together. The appellant may wish to only submit the defective section of the brief.				
		/Timothy Cole/ T.Cole Patent Appeal Specialist			